| Council/Age                           | ncy Meeting Hel | d:       |                        |          |  |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------|----------|------------------------|----------|--|
| Deferred/Co                           | ntinued to:     |          | ····                   |          |  |
| ☐ Approved ☐ Conditionally Approved ☐ |                 | ☐ Denied | City Clerk's Signature |          |  |
| Council Meeting Date: 2/4/2           |                 | 2008     | Department ID Number:  | PW 08-07 |  |

# CITY OF HUNTINGTON BEACH REQUEST FOR CITY COUNCIL ACTION

SUBMITTED TO: HONORABLE MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL MEMBE

SUBMITTED BY: PAUL EMERY, INTERIM CITY ADMINISTRATOR

PREPARED BY: TRAVIS K. HOPKINS, PE, DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC WORKS

SUBJECT: Approve Proposition 42 City Aid Program Agreement with the County of

Orange

Statement of Issue, Funding Source, Recommended Action, Alternative Action(s), Analysis, Environmental Status, Attachment(s)

<u>Statement of Issue</u>: An Agreement with the County of Orange is necessary to receive grant funding from their Proposition 42 City Aid Program. This is a new program that distributes a portion of the County allocation to local cities for street maintenance.

**Funding Source:** In FY 2008/09, funding in the amount of \$678,695 will be granted to the City. No local match is required. The program is intended to be annual.

## Recommended Action: Motion to:

Approve and authorize the Mayor and City Clerk to execute the Proposition 42 City Aid Program Agreement.

<u>Alternative Action(s)</u>: Do not approve the Proposition 42 City Aid Program Agreement. This action would result in the loss of \$678,695 in grant funding.

E-5

## REQUEST FOR CITY COUNCIL ACTION

MEETING DATE: 2/4/2008

**DEPARTMENT ID NUMBER: PW 08-07** 

<u>Analysis</u>: The County of Orange has instituted the Proposition 42 City Aid Program (CAP) to allocate a portion of \$10 million from State Proposition 42 funding to local cities for street maintenance improvements. Funding will be distributed to each of the cities in the County based on city population as of January 2007. The funding must be used for the purposes of routine roadway maintenance, minor roadway widening, (non-capacity enhancing) and storm drain improvements related to storm damage.

In November of 2007, the City submitted an application for its allocation of \$678,695. The proposed agreement must be executed by the City as a condition of the CAP. These funds will be utilized to supplement the City's Residential Street Overlay Program. The residential streets to be overlaid are located throughout the City, were chosen from the 2005 backlog list, and are listed as Exhibit A in the agreement.

Public Works Commission Action: Not required.

## Strategic Plan Goal:

I-1 Improve the City's plan for funding and completing infrastructure needs, and develop strategies for resolving crucial infrastructure problems to preserve the physical foundation of the community and enable the community's value to grow.

C-1 Improve transportation through a variety of means.

Environmental Status: Not applicable

## Attachment(s):

| City Clerk's<br>Page Number | No. | Description                                |  |
|-----------------------------|-----|--|--|
|                             | 1.  | Proposition 42 City Aid Program Agreement. |  |

LEFT PEADE

## PROPOSITION 42 CITY AID PROGRAM AGREEMENT

| This CITY AID PROGRAM AGREEMENT, A                | greement No. D07-142 ("Agreement") is made     |
|---|--|
| and entered into this day of                      | 200, by and between the County of Orange       |
| California, a political subdivision of the Stat   | e of California ("County"), and the City of    |
| Huntington Beach, a municipal corporation in th   | e State of California ("City"). The County and |
| City shall sometimes be referred to separately as | a "Party" and collectively as the "Parties."   |

## **RECITALS**

- A. The California State Legislature has determined that the improvement and maintenance of all city streets is of general County interest. Likewise, the County believes that it is of general County interest to extend aid to the cities within the County for the general improvement and maintenance of City streets.
- B. The County desires to assist Orange County cities with the improvement and maintenance of city streets and to help improve regional transportation needs within the County. Pursuant to California Constitution Article XIX B § 1 and Revenue Taxation Code § 7104, the County receives State Proposition 42 (Prop. 42) allocations from the State's Transportation Investment Fund (TIF) and Traffic Congestion Relief Fund (TCRF) for the purposes of street and highway maintenance, rehabilitation, reconstruction and storm damage repair, which are required to be deposited in the County's Road Fund.
- C. Orange County cities have streets in need of routine roadway maintenance, minor roadway widening (non-capacity enhancing) and storm drain improvements, but have limited funds to address these needs.
- D. With authorization from the State, the County has established its Prop. 42 City Aid Program (CAP) whereby the County will provide a portion of the County's Prop. 42 funds to the cities for the purposes of routine roadway maintenance, minor roadway widening (non-capacity enhancing) and storm drain improvements within the cities. Such purposes are to be in accordance with any and all laws, rules, regulations, and guidelines governing Prop. 42 allocations ("Prop. 42 Requirements").
- E. The City owns and operates streets in need of routine roadway maintenance, minor roadway widening (non capacity enhancing) and storm drain improvements, and acknowledges that it has limited funds by which to address these needs. Therefore, the City is in support of receiving a portion of the County's Prop. 42 allocation funds through CAP.
- F. Pursuant to Streets & Highways Code section 1686, the Board of Supervisors has passed Resolution No. 07-109, adopted by a four-fifths vote of its members, finding that the Purposes under this Agreement are of general County interest and that County aid shall be extended therefore. This resolution provides for the basis and conditions upon which allocations

will be made from the County Road Fund for expenditures upon City streets, bridges and culverts in cities within the County.

G. The County's City Aid Program (CAP) provides the guidelines for CAP, and is incorporated by reference and made a part of this Agreement.

### SECTION I

## IT IS MUTUALLY UNDERSTOOD BY THE PARTIES THAT:

## County shall:

- A. Distribute city aid allocation(s) per requirements of this agreement, the CAP, and Exhibit B. CAP allocations to cities shall be based on each city's population as of January 2007 information published by Demographic Research Unit of the California Department of Finance.
- B. Distribute city aid allocation(s) to cities, on a first come first serve basis, after receiving invoice along with proof of award documentation from the City for its project(s). Each City project will require invoice and proof of award documentation. The allocation will be based on City's invoice amount, which can include design, construction and construction administration costs. County will not begin disbursing allocations until County has received its first Prop. 42 allocation from the State for fiscal year 08/09. After County has received its first Prop. 42 allocation, County will disburse an allocation to the City upon receipt of award documents. County CAP allocations are entirely subject to Prop. 42 allocations to County from the State including any delays or changes in State law, rules, regulations, and guidelines.
- C. Coordinate with Cities on CAP projects. County's Director of Public Works or designee can authorize the substitution of CAP project(s) listed on Exhibit A to maximize full expenditure of the City's CAP allocation(s). The City must make this request in writing, and the proposed new project(s) shall be eligible for Prop. 42 funds and shall not exceed the Board approved City CAP allocation(s).
- D. Make every effort to expend any CAP funds returned to the County by the City if City returns funds in a timely manner. However, funds cannot be expended by the County; they shall be returned to the State.
- E. Review Annual CAP expenditure certification submitted by City (City's submittal will be no later than August 31 of each year). This certification is a list of completed projects and a statement that each project meets Prop. 42 requirements and is in compliance with the purposes of CAP (See CAP for sample of certification). City is responsible for ensuring that all projects meet CAP and Prop. 42 eligibility requirements and have expended such funds in the appropriate fiscal year.
- F. Conduct a City expenditure assessment each year to verify if City is expending its CAP funds in the timeframe contemplated under this Agreement.

#### SECTION II

## City shall:

- A. Maintain its existing "Maintenance of Effort" (MOE) of local funds for street and highway, maintenance, rehabilitation, reconstruction, and storm damage repair. The City must annually expend from its general fund for street, road, and highway purposes an amount not less than the annual average of its expenditures from its general fund during the 1996-97, 1997-98, and 1998-99 fiscal years, as reported to the controller pursuant to the Streets & Highways Code Section 2151, in order to participate in the County Proposition 42 City Aid Program.
- B. Provide County with invoice, along with proof of award documentation, for its project(s) in order to receive CAP funds. Each City project will require invoice and proof of award documentation. CAP allocation will be based on the contract award amount. County will not begin disbursing allocations until County has received its first Prop. 42 allocation from the State for fiscal year 08/09. After County has received its first Prop. 42 allocation, County will disburse a CAP allocation to the City upon receipt of invoice and award documents on a first come first serve basis. County's CAP allocations are subject to receiving Prop. 42 allocations from the State.
- C. After receiving CAP funds from the County, advise the County, no later than two (2) months before the end of the expenditure cycle of each year, of any CAP funds that the City determines will not be expended within the time requirements of CAP. Any such CAP funds the City will be unable to expend by the deadline shall be returned to the County a minimum of thirty (30) calendar days prior to the end of the respective expenditure cycle. The County will attempt to reallocate these funds to other County projects that will expend the funds within the time requirements. However if the County is unable to expend or reallocate these funds, they will be returned to the State.
- D. Expend CAP funds no later than June 30, 2010. Eligible expenditure for fiscal year 08/09 CAP allocation must occur between July 1, 2008 and June 30, 2010. Any funds not expended by this deadline shall be returned to the County in a timely manner, but no later than 60 days.
- E. Prepare and submit to County annually, no later than August 31, 2010, CAP expenditure certification for all project(s) completed that corresponding fiscal year. This certification is a list of projects and a statement that each project meets Prop. 42 requirements and is in compliance with the purposes of CAP (See CAP for sample of certification).
- F. In the event of an audit by the State, provide State all project and finance documentation as required within the timeframe specified by the State. City shall attend all audits involving its CAP projects as required by State. If the State finds that any City expenditure is ineligible or out of compliance with Prop. 42 requirements, that expenditure shall be reimbursed to the County, which will be returned to the State. The City shall reimburse the

County no later than 60 calendar days from State's formal determination, if State determines CAP expenditures are ineligible.

## **SECTION III**

## Miscellaneous Provisions:

- A. Availability of Funds County will recalculate the \$10M total CAP allocation to the cities if the County's total Prop. 42 allocation falls 10% or more below the anticipated \$19M yearly allocation. The adjustment down of the \$10M City allocations will be in the same ratio as the County's allocation is adjusted down from the anticipated \$19M. There will be no adjustment if the County's Prop. 42 allocation exceeds \$19M.
- B. <u>Project Documentation</u> Cities shall promptly provide County and/or State, upon request, all project and finance documentation.
- C. <u>Indemnification</u> City shall indemnify, defend with counsel approved in writing, save and hold County and each of its elected officials, officers, directors, agents and employees harmless from any and all claims, injuries, liabilities, actions, damages, losses or expenses of every type and description to which they may be subjected arising out of any act or omission of, its employees, representatives, agents and independent contractors in connection with the implementation of the actions described in this agreement. City shall return CAP funds to the County (or State as appropriate) within the timeframe contemplated under this Agreement should 1) City fail to expend all of its CAP allocation within the specified timeframe; 2) the State deem any City expenditure to be ineligible; or 3) the City Aid Program be found to be incompatible with State requirements.
- D. <u>Assignment</u> This Agreement shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the successors and assigns of the Parties. No assignment of City's interest in this Agreement shall be made without the written consent of the County. Furthermore, City agrees that CAP allocations shall not be expended on, given to, shared with, or otherwise provided to any other city, local agency or other entity that is not contemplated under this Agreement.
- E. <u>Entirety & Amendments</u> This Agreement contains the entire agreement between the Parties with respect to the matters provided for herein. No alteration or variation of the terms of this Agreement shall be valid unless made in writing and signed by the Parties; no oral understanding or agreement not incorporated herein shall be binding on either of the Parties; and no exceptions, alternatives, substitutes or revisions are valid or binding on County unless authorized by County in writing.
- F. <u>Severability</u> If any part of this Agreement is held, determined, or adjudicated to be illegal, void, or unenforceable by a court of competent jurisdiction, the remainder of this Agreement shall be given effect to the fullest extent reasonably possible.
- G. <u>Calendar Days</u> Any reference to the word "day" or "days" herein means calendar day or calendar days, respectively unless otherwise expressly provided.

H. <u>Notices</u> Notices or other communications which may be required or provided under the terms of this AGREEMENT shall be given as follows:

City:

Director of Public Works/City Engineer

Public Works Department City of Huntington Beach

2000 Main Street

Huntington Beach, CA 92648

Phone 714-536-5437 Facsimile 714-536-5233

and

County:

Director of Public Works, RDMD

County of Orange PO Box 4048

Santa Ana, CA 92702-4048

Phone 714-834-4377 Facsimile 714-834-2496

All notices shall be in writing and deemed effective when delivered in person or deposited in the United States mail, first class, postage prepaid and addressed as above. Notwithstanding the above, the Parties may also provide notices by facsimile transmittal, and any such notice so given shall be deemed to have been given upon receipt during normal business hours or, in the event of receipt after business, on the following business day. Any notices, correspondence, reports and/or statements authorized or required by this Agreement, addressed in any other fashion shall be deemed not given.

I. <u>Termination</u> County may terminate this Agreement for any reason provided that the City has not awarded any project on which its CAP allocation will be expended. After the City has awarded a project on which its CAP allocation will be expended, County may terminate this Agreement if the City is in breach of this Agreement. Any such termination shall be accomplished by delivery to City a Notice of Termination, which notice shall become effective not less than thirty (30) days after receipt, specifying the reason for the termination, the extent to which CAP funding is terminated, and the date upon which the termination is effective.

No termination shall become effective if, within thirty (30) days after receipt of a Notice of Termination, City either cures the default involved or, if not reasonably susceptible of cure within said thirty (30) day period, City proceeds thereafter to complete the cure in a manner and time line acceptable to County. During this period before the effective termination date, City and County shall meet to attempt to resolve any dispute. In the event of termination, County may proceed with the project work in a manner deemed proper by County.

J. <u>Breach</u> The failure of the City to comply with any of the terms and conditions of this Agreement shall be a material breach of this Agreement. In such event the County may:

- 1. Afford the City a written Notice of Breach and Notice of Termination providing for thirty (30) days within which to cure the breach, in accordance with Section III.I (Termination);
- 2. Discontinue all CAP allocations during the period in which the City is in breach; and
- 3. Offset CAP allocations against any invoices billed by the City.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, City has caused this Agreement to be executed by its Mayor and attested by its Clerk, and County has caused this Agreement to be executed by the Chairman of the Board of Supervisors and attested by its Clerk on the dates written opposite their signatures, all thereunto duly authorized by the City Council and the Board of Supervisors, respectively.

|  | City of Huntington Beach, a municipal corporation   |
|--|---|
| Date:  | By:   |
| ATTEST:  | APPROVED AS TO FORM:  |
| City Clerk   | By: Plan 1/3/08  City Attorney  |
|  | County of Orange,<br>a political subdivision of the State of<br>California                        |
| Date:SIGNED AND CERTIFIED THAT A COPY OF THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN DELIVERED TO THE CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD | By: Chairman, Board of Supervisors  APPROVED AS TO FORM: COUNTY COUNSEL ORANGE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA |
| By:  | By: Jan Jan<br>Deputy   |

LEFT

Agreement No.: (<u>D07-142</u>)



## CITY OF HUNTINGTON BEACH

2000 Main Street

PO Box 190

CA 92648

Robert F. Beardsley, PE Director

Department of Public Works (714) 536-5431

Proposition 42 City Aid Program Project List

Date: November 8, 2007

To: County of Orange

Fiscal Year: FY08/09

City Contact Person: Todd Broussard, Phone: (714) 536-5247

**Existing Pavement Condition Index (PCI): 65** 

CAP Allocation Amount\*: (See Exhibit B)

|      | T                |                      | <u> </u>        |                     |                | . toco Exmon D)          |
|------|------------------|----------------------|-----------------|---------------------|----------------|--------------------------|
| ltem | Project          | Lit                  | nits            | Project Description | Estimated Cost | Est. Award<br>Date       |
| 1    | Georgia St.      | Indianapolis Ave.    | Joliet Ave.     | Pavement            | \$ 11,840,00   | March 2009               |
| 2    | Joliet Ave.      | California St.       | Delaware St.    | Pavement            | \$ 12,173.00   | March 2009               |
| 3    | Joliet Ave.      | Georgia St.          | Beach Blvd.     | Pavement            | \$ 11,655.00   | March 2009               |
| 4    | Knoxville Ave.   | Alley California St. | Delaware St.    | Pavement            | \$ 6,142.00    | March 2009               |
| 5    | Spa Dr.          | Rancho Rd.           | Harold Pl.      | Pavement            | \$ 6,228.00    | March 2009               |
| 6    | Spa Dr.          | Harold Pl.           | Dollar Dr.      | Pavement            | \$ 27,936.00   | March 2009               |
| 7    | Stardust Dr.     | Spa Dr.              | Nevada Dr.      | Pavement            | \$ 29,088.00   | March 2009               |
| 8    | Chinook Dr.      | Tropicana Ln.        | Chinook Ave.    | Pavement            | \$ 33,420.00   | March 2009               |
| 9    | Croupier Cir.    | Calneva Ln.          | Springdale St.  | Pavement            | \$ 23,040.00   | March 2009               |
| 10   | Dollar Dr.       | Spa Dr.              | Nevada Dr.      | Pavement            | \$ 15,624.00   | March 2009               |
| 11   | Dunes Ln.        | Hacienda Dr.         | Chinook Dr.     | Pavement            | \$ 9,360.00    | March 2009               |
| 12   | Hammon Ln.       | Harold Pl.           | Sands Dr.       | Pavement            | \$ 24,840.00   | March 2009               |
| 13   | Hammon Ln.       | Sands Dr.            | Spa Dr.         | Pavement            | \$ 27,792.00   | March 2009               |
| 14   | Harold Pl.       | Graham St.           | Hammon Ln.      | Pavement            | \$ 47,592.00   | March 2009               |
| 15   | Sahara Ln.       | Croupier Cir.        | Nugget Cir.     | Pavement            | \$ 10,480.00   |                          |
| 16   | Spa Dr.          | Dollar Dr.           | Hammon Ln.      | Pavement            | \$ 50,076.00   | March 2009<br>March 2009 |
| 17   | Tangiers Dr.     | Spa Dr.              | Nevada Dr.      | Pavement            | \$ 22,356.00   |                          |
| 18   | Thunderbird Cir. | Stardust Dr.         | END             | Pavement            | \$ 12,416.00   | March 2009               |
| 19   | Tropicana Ln.    | Chinook Dr.          | Sands Dr.       | Pavement            | \$ 11,940.00   | March 2009               |
| 20   | Danes Cir.       | END                  | Briarcliff Dr.  | Pavement            | \$ 21,344.00   | March 2009               |
| 21   | Elmhurst Cir.    | END                  | Briarcliff Dr.  | Pavement            | \$ 21,380.00   | March 2009               |
| 22   | Nugget Cir.      | Sahara Ln.           | END             | Pavement            | \$ 12,096.00   | March 2009               |
| 23   | Spa Dr.          | Hammon Ln.           | Calneva Ln.     | Pavement            | \$ 29,100.00   | March 2009               |
| 24   | Ivory Cir.       | END                  | Edgeview L.n.   | Pavement            | \$ 22,280.00   | March 2009               |
| 25   | Larchwood Dr.    | Sunnycrest Ln.       | END             | Pavement            | \$ 15,872.00   | March 2009               |
| 26   | Penfield Cir.    | Mar Vista Dr.        | END             | Pavement            | \$ 15,872.00   | March 2009               |
| 27   | Sunnycrest Ln.   | END                  | Royal Oak Dr.   | Pavement            |                | March 2009               |
| 28   | Countess Dr.     | Edinger Ave.         | Portofino Cir.  | Pavement            | \$ 13,564.00   | March 2009               |
| 29   | Venture Dr.      | Walrus Ln.           | Sundancer Ln.   | Pavement            | \$ 72,270.00   | March 2009               |
| 30   | Zane Cir.        | END                  | Royal Oak Dr.   | Pavement            | \$ 54,720.00   | March 2009               |
| 31   | Cobra Ln.        | Wrenfield Dr.        | Norino Dr.      | Pavement            | \$ 13,950.00   | March 2009               |
| 32   | Cory Dr.         | END                  | Mayor Ln.       | Pavement            | \$ 11,587.00   | March 2009               |
| 33   | Whetmore Ln.     | Capstone Dr.         | Spickard Dr.    | Pavement            | \$ 41,828.00   | March 2009               |
| 34   | Barwood Dr.      | Rainglen Ln.         | Beckwall Ln.    |                     | \$ 36,525.00   | March 2009               |
| 35   | Altamirano Ln.   | Villa Nueva Dr.      | Cadiz Cir.      | Pavement Pavement   | \$ 23,472.00   | March 2009               |
| 36   | Galicia Ln.      | Jardines Dr.         | Villa Nueva Dr. | Pavement            | \$ 39,636.00   | March 2009               |
| 37   | Villa Nueva Dr.  | Altamirano Ln.       | Carranza Ln.    | Pavement            | \$ 7,380.00    | March 2009               |
|      |                  | 1 . search Grid Life | L Callanza Lil. | Pavement            | \$ 9,216.00    | March 2009               |

Total Cost: \$860,698.00

\* City Aid allocation for FY08/09 must be fully expended by 6/30/10.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Evaluation of Pavement Condition Index (PCI) should be consistent with OCTA's final report on "Countywide Assessment of Existing and Future Pavement Needs," dated March 2006.

LEFT

# Orange County Funded Proposition 42 City Aid Program FY08/09 Allocation Schedule to Cities

| ID | Orange County Cities   | Population<br>(Department of Finance<br>Jan. 1, 2007) | % Population in O.C. | Prop. 42 CAP<br>Allocations Based on<br>Population |
|----|------------------------|---|----------------------|--|
| 1  | Santa Ana              | 353,428   | 11.86%               | \$1,186,006  |
| 2  | Anaheim                | 345,556   | 11.60%               | \$1,159,590  |
| 3  | Huntington Beach       | 202,250   | 6.79%                | \$678,695  |
| 4  | Irvine                 | 202,079   | 6.78%                | \$678,121  |
| 5  | Garden Grove           | 172,781   | 5.80%                | \$579,805  |
| 6  | Orange                 | 138,640   | 4.65%                | \$465,237  |
| 7  | Fullerton              | 137,367   | 4.61%                | \$460,965  |
| 8  | Cöstä Mesa             | 113,805   | 3.82%                | \$381,898  |
| 9  | Mission Viejo          | 98,483  | 3.30%                | \$330,482  |
| 10 | Westminster            | 92,870  | 3.12%                | \$311,646  |
| 11 | Newport Beach          | 84,218  | 2.83%                | \$282,612  |
| 12 | Buena Park             | 82,452  | 2.77%                | \$276,686  |
| 13 | Lake Forest            | 78,243  | 2.63%                | \$262,562  |
| 14 | Tustin                 | 70,350  | 2.36%                | \$236,075  |
| 15 | Yorba Linda            | 67,904  | 2.28%                | \$227,867  |
| 16 | San Clemente           | 67,373  | 2.26%                | \$226,085  |
| 17 | Laguna Niguel          | 66,608  | 2.24%                | \$223,518  |
| 18 | La Habra               | 62,483  | 2.10%                | \$209,676  |
| 19 | Fountain Valley        | 57,741  | 1.94%                | \$193,763  |
| 20 | Placentia              | 51,597  | 1.73%                | \$173,145  |
| 21 | Rancho Santa Margarita | 49,718  | 1.67%                | \$166,840  |
| 22 | Cypress                | 49,284  | 1.65%                | \$165,383  |
| 23 | Aliso Viejo            | 45,037  | 1.51%                | \$151,132  |
| 24 | Brea                   | 39,870  | 1.34%                | \$133,793  |
| 25 | Stanton                | 38,981  | 1.31%                | \$130,809  |
| 26 | Dana Point             | 36,946  | 1.24%                | \$123,980  |
| 27 | San Juan Capistrano    | 36,452  | 1.22%                | \$122,323  |
| 28 | Laguna Hills           | 33,391  | 1.12%                | \$112,051  |
| 29 | Seal Beach             | 25,962  | 0.87%                | \$87,121   |
| 30 | Laguna Beach           | 25,131  | 0.84%                | \$84,333   |
| 31 | Laguna Woods           | 18,426  | 0.62%                | \$61,833   |
| 32 | La Palma               | 16,162  |                      | \$54,235   |
| 33 | Los Alamitos           | 12,146  |                      | \$40,759   |
| 34 | Villa Park             | 6,251   |                      | \$20,977   |
| Ī  | Total                  |   |                      |  |

Note: \$10M City Aid Program is based on the County receiving its expected Prop. 42 allocation of approx. \$19M for FY08/09.

LEFT' PEADIK